IMC 2016, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria

Day 2, July 28, 2016

Problem 1. Let $(x_1, x_2, ...)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{2n-1} = 1$. Prove that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{x_n}{k^2} \le 2.$$

(Proposed by Gerhard J. Woeginger, The Netherlands)

Solution. By interchanging the sums,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{x_n}{k^2} = \sum_{1 \le n \le k} \frac{x_n}{k^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(x_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \right).$$

Then we use the upper bound

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \le \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 - \frac{1}{4}} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k - \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{k + \frac{1}{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{n - \frac{1}{2}}$$

and get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{x_n}{k^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(x_n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \right) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(x_n \cdot \frac{1}{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{2n-1} = 2.$$

Problem 2. Today, Ivan the Confessor prefers continuous functions $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f(x) + f(y) \ge |x - y|$ for all pairs $x, y \in [0,1]$. Find the minimum of $\int_0^1 f$ over all preferred functions. (Proposed by Fedor Petrov, St. Petersburg State University)

Solution. The minimum of $\int_0^1 f$ is $\frac{1}{4}$. Applying the condition with $0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}$, $y = x + \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$f(x) + f(x + \frac{1}{2}) \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

By integrating,

$$\int_0^1 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{1/2} \left(f(x) + f(x + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_0^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{4}.$$

On the other hand, the function $f(x) = \left|x - \frac{1}{2}\right|$ satisfies the conditions because

$$|x - y| = \left| \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - y \right) \right| \le \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2} - y \right| = f(x) + f(y),$$

and establishes

$$\int_0^1 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{1/2}^1 \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Problem 3. Let *n* be a positive integer, and denote by \mathbb{Z}_n the ring of integers modulo *n*. Suppose that there exists a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfying the following three properties:

(i) $f(x) \neq x$,

(ii) f(f(x)) = x,

(iii) f(f(x+1)+1) + 1) = x for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Prove that $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

(Proposed by Ander Lamaison Vidarte, Berlin Mathematical School, Germany)

Solution. From property (ii) we can see that f is surjective, so f is a permutation of the elements in \mathbb{Z}_n , and its order is at most 2. Therefore, the permutation f is the product of disjoint transpositions of the form (x, f(x)). Property (i) yields that this permutation has no fixed point, so n is be even, and the number of transpositions is precisely n/2.

Consider the permutation g(x) = f(x+1). If g was odd then $g \circ g \circ g$ also would be odd. But property (iii) constraints that $g \circ g \circ g$ is the identity which is even. So g cannot be odd; g must be even. The cyclic permutation h(x) = x - 1 has order n, an even number, so h is odd. Then $f(x) = g \circ h$ is odd. Since f is the product of n/2 transpositions, this shows that n/2 must be odd, so $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Remark. There exists a function with properties (i-iii) for every $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. For n = 2 take f(1) = 2, f(2) = 1. Here we outline a possible construction for $n \geq 6$.

Let n = 4k+2, take a regular polygon with k+2 sides, and divide it into k triangles with k-1 diagonals. Draw a circle that intersects each side and each diagonal twice; altogether we have 4k+2 intersections. Label the intersection points clockwise around the circle. On every side and diagonal we have two intersections; let f send them to each other.

This function f obviously satisfies properties (i) and (ii). For every x we either have f(x + 1) = x or the effect of adding 1 and taking f three times is going around the three sides of a triangle, so this function satisfies property (iii).



Problem 4. Let k be a positive integer. For each nonnegative integer n, let f(n) be the number of solutions $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ of the inequality $|x_1| + \ldots + |x_k| \leq n$. Prove that for every $n \geq 1$, we have $f(n-1)f(n+1) \leq f(n)^2$.

(Proposed by Esteban Arreaga, Renan Finder and José Madrid, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro)

Solution 1. We prove by induction on k. If k = 1 then we have f(n) = 2n + 1 and the statement immediately follows from the AM-GM inequality.

Assume that $k \ge 2$ and the statement is true for k-1. Let g(m) be the number of integer solutions of $|x_1| + \ldots + |x_{k-1}| \le m$; by the induction hypothesis $g(m-1)g(m+1) \le g(m)^2$ holds; this can be transformed to

$$\frac{g(0)}{g(1)} \le \frac{g(1)}{g(2)} \le \frac{g(2)}{g(3)} \le \dots$$

For any integer constant c, the inequality $|x_1| + ... + |x_{k-1}| + |c| \le n$ has g(n-|c|) integer solutions. Therefore, we have the recurrence relation

$$f(n) = \sum_{c=-n}^{n} g(n - |c|) = g(n) + 2g(n - 1) + \dots + 2g(0).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{f(n-1)}{f(n)} = \frac{g(n-1) + 2g(n-2) + \dots + 2g(0)}{g(n) + 2g(n-1) + \dots + 2g(1) + 2g(0)} \le \frac{g(n) + g(n-1) + (g(n-1) + \dots + 2g(0) + 2 \cdot 0)}{g(n+1) + g(n) + (g(n) + \dots + 2g(1) + 2g(0))} = \frac{f(n)}{f(n+1)}$$

as required.

Solution 2. We first compute the generating function for f(n):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)q^n = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} q^{|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_k| + c} = \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{|x|}\right)^k \frac{1}{1 - q} = \frac{(1+q)^k}{(1-q)^{k+1}}$$

For each a = 0, 1, ... denote by $g_a(n)$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) the coefficients in the following expansion:

$$\frac{(1+q)^a}{(1-q)^{k+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_a(n)q^n.$$

So it is clear that $g_{a+1}(n) = g_a(n) + g_a(n-1)$ $(n \ge 1)$, $g_a(0) = 1$. Call a sequence of positive numbers $g(0), g(1), g(2), \ldots good$ if $\frac{g(n-1)}{g(n)}$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ is an increasing sequence. It is straightforward to check that g_0 is good:

$$g_0(n) = \binom{k+n}{k}, \quad \frac{g_0(n-1)}{g_0(n)} = \frac{n}{k+n}$$

If g is a good sequence then a new sequence g' defined by g'(0) = g(0), g'(n) = g(n) + g(n-1) $(n \ge 1)$ is also good:

$$\frac{g'(n-1)}{g'(n)} = \frac{g(n-1) + g(n-2)}{g(n) + g(n-1)} = \frac{1 + \frac{g(n-2)}{g(n-1)}}{1 + \frac{g(n)}{g(n-1)}}$$

where define g(-1) = 0. Thus we see that each of the sequences $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k = f$ are good. So the desired inequality holds.

Problem 5. Let A be a $n \times n$ complex matrix whose eigenvalues have absolute value at most 1. Prove that

$$||A^n|| \le \frac{n}{\ln 2} ||A||^{n-1}.$$

(Here $||B|| = \sup_{||x|| \le 1} ||Bx||$ for every $n \times n$ matrix B and $||x|| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^2}$ for every complex vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$.)

(Proposed by Ian Morris and Fedor Petrov, St. Petersburg State University)

,

Solution 1. Let r = ||A||. We have to prove $||A^n|| \le \frac{n}{\ln 2}r^{n-1}$.

As is well-known, the matrix norm satisfies $||XY|| \leq ||X|| \cdot ||Y||$ for any matrices X, Y, and as a simple consequence, $||A^k|| \leq ||A||^k = r^k$ for every positive integer k.

Let $\chi(t) = (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \dots (t - \lambda_n) = t^n + c_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + c_n$ be the characteristic polynomial of A. From Vieta's formulas we get

$$|c_k| = \left| \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k} \right| \le \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} \left| \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k} \right| \le \binom{n}{k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem we have $\chi(A) = 0$, so

$$||A^{n}|| = ||c_{1}A^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n}|| \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} ||A^{k}|| \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} r^{k} = (1+r)^{n} - r^{n}.$$

Combining this with the trivial estimate $||A^n|| \leq r^n$, we have

$$||A^n|| \le \min(r^n, (1+r)^n - r^n)).$$

Let $r_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{2}-1}$; it is easy to check that the two bounds are equal if $r = r_0$, moreover

$$r_0 = \frac{1}{e^{\ln 2/n} - 1} < \frac{n}{\ln 2}$$

For $r \leq r_0$ apply the trivial bound:

$$||A^n|| \le r^n \le r_0 \cdot r^{n-1} < \frac{n}{\ln 2} r^{n-1}.$$

For $r > r_0$ we have

$$|A^{n}|| \le (1+r)^{n} - r^{n} = r^{n-1} \cdot \frac{(1+r)^{n} - r^{n}}{r^{n-1}}.$$

Notice that the function $f(r) = \frac{(1+r)^n - r^n}{r^{n-1}}$ is decreasing because the numerator has degree n-1 and all coefficients are positive, so

$$\frac{(1+r)^n - r^n}{r^{n-1}} < \frac{(1+r_0)^n - r_0^n}{r_0^{n-1}} = r_0 \big((1+1/r_0)^n - 1) = r_0 < \frac{n}{\ln 2},$$

so $||A^n|| < \frac{n}{\ln 2}r^{n-1}$.

Solution 2. We will use the following facts which are easy to prove:

- For any square matrix A there exists a unitary matrix U such that UAU^{-1} is upper-triangular.
- For any matrices A, B we have $||A|| \leq ||(A|B)||$ and $||B|| \leq ||(A|B)||$ where (A|B) is the matrix whose columns are the columns of A and the columns of B.
- For any matrices A, B we have $||A|| \leq ||(\frac{A}{B})||$ and $||B|| \leq ||(\frac{A}{B})||$ where $(\frac{A}{B})$ is the matrix whose rows are the rows of A and the rows of B.
- Adding a zero row or a zero column to a matrix does not change its norm.

We will prove a stronger inequality

$$||A^n|| \le n ||A||^{n-1}$$

for any $n \times n$ matrix A whose eigenvalues have absolute value at most 1. We proceed by induction on n. The case n = 1 is trivial. Without loss of generality we can assume that the matrix A is upper-triangular. So we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the eigenvalues of A are precisely the diagonal entries. We split A as the sum of 3 matrices, A = X + Y + Z as follows:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by A' the matrix obtained from A by removing the first row and the first column:

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $||X|| \leq 1$ because $|a_{11}| \leq 1$. We also have

$$||A'|| = ||Z|| \le ||Y + Z|| \le ||A||.$$

Now we decompose A^n as follows:

$$A^{n} = XA^{n-1} + (Y+Z)A^{n-1}.$$

We substitute A = X + Y + Z in the second term and expand the parentheses. Because of the following identities:

$$Y^2 = 0, \quad YX = 0, \quad ZY = 0, \quad ZX = 0$$

only the terms YZ^{n-1} and Z^n survive. So we have

$$A^{n} = XA^{n-1} + (Y+Z)Z^{n-1}.$$

By the induction hypothesis we have $||A'^{n-1}|| \le (n-1)||A'||^{n-2}$, hence $||Z^{n-1}|| \le (n-1)||Z||^{n-2} \le (n-1)||A||^{n-2}$. Therefore

$$||A^{n}|| \leq ||XA^{n-1}|| + ||(Y+Z)Z^{n-1}|| \leq ||A||^{n-1} + (n-1)||Y+Z|| ||A||^{n-2} \leq n||A||^{n-1}.$$