## IMC2007, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria Day 2, August 6, 2007

**Problem 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Suppose that for any c > 0, the graph of f can be moved to the graph of cf using only a translation or a rotation. Does this imply that f(x) = ax + b for some real numbers a and b?

**Solution.** No. The function  $f(x) = e^x$  also has this property since  $ce^x = e^{x + \log c}$ .

**Problem 2.** Let x, y, and z be integers such that  $S = x^4 + y^4 + z^4$  is divisible by 29. Show that S is divisible by 29<sup>4</sup>.

**Solution.** We claim that 29 | x, y, z. Then,  $x^4 + y^4 + z^4$  is clearly divisible by  $29^4$ .

Assume, to the contrary, that 29 does not divide all of the numbers x, y, z. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $29 \nmid x$ . Since the residue classes modulo 29 form a field, there is some  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $xw \equiv 1 \pmod{29}$ . Then,  $(xw)^4 + (yw)^4 + (zw)^4$  is also divisible by 29. So we can assume that  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{29}$ .

Thus, we need to show that  $y^4 + z^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{29}$ , i.e.  $y^4 \equiv -1 - z^4 \pmod{29}$ , is impossible. There are only eight fourth powers modulo 29,

The differences  $-1 - z^4$  are congruent to 28, 27, 21, 12, 8, 5, 4, and 3. None of these residue classes is listed among the fourth powers.

**Problem 3.** Let C be a nonempty closed bounded subset of the real line and  $f : C \to C$  be a nondecreasing continuous function. Show that there exists a point  $p \in C$  such that f(p) = p.

(A set is closed if its complement is a union of open intervals. A function g is nondecreasing if  $g(x) \leq g(y)$  for all  $x \leq y$ .)

**Solution.** Suppose  $f(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in C$ . Let [a, b] be the smallest closed interval that contains C. Since C is closed,  $a, b \in C$ . By our hypothesis f(a) > a and f(b) < b. Let  $p = \sup\{x \in C : f(x) > x\}$ . Since C is closed and f is continuous,  $f(p) \ge p$ , so f(p) > p. For all x > p,  $x \in C$  we have f(x) < x. Therefore f(f(p)) < f(p) contrary to the fact that f is non-decreasing.

**Problem 4.** Let n > 1 be an odd positive integer and  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1...n}$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j \\ 1 & \text{if } i - j \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Find  $\det A$ .

**Solution.** Notice that  $A = B^2$ , with  $b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i - j \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . So it is sufficient to find det B.

To find det B, expand the determinant with respect to the first row, and then expad both terms with respect to the first column.

$$\det B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ = - \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix} = -(0-1) + (1-0) = 2,$$

since the second and the third matrices are lower/upper triangular, while in the first and the fourth matrices we have  $\operatorname{row}_1 - \operatorname{row}_3 + \operatorname{row}_5 - \cdots \pm \operatorname{row}_{n-2} = \overline{0}$ .

So det B = 2 and thus det A = 4.

**Problem 5.** For each positive integer k, find the smallest number  $n_k$  for which there exist real  $n_k \times n_k$  matrices  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  such that all of the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $A_1^2 = A_2^2 = \ldots = A_k^2 = 0$ ,
- (2)  $A_i A_j = A_j A_i$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le k$ , and
- (3)  $A_1 A_2 \ldots A_k \neq 0.$

**Solution.** The anwser is  $n_k = 2^k$ . In that case, the matrices can be constructed as follows: Let V be the *n*-dimensional real vector space with basis elements [S], where S runs through all  $n = 2^k$  subsets of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . Define  $A_i$  as an endomorphism of V by

$$A_i[S] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in S\\ [S \cup \{i\}] & \text{if } i \notin S \end{cases}$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$  and  $S \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . Then  $A_i^2 = 0$  and  $A_i A_j = A_j A_i$ . Furthermore,

$$A_1 A_2 \dots A_k[\emptyset] = [\{1, 2, \dots, k\}],$$

and hence  $A_1 A_2 \ldots A_k \neq 0$ .

Now let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$  be  $n \times n$  matrices satisfying the conditions of the problem; we prove that  $n \geq 2^k$ . Let v be a real vector satisfying  $A_1A_2 \ldots A_k v \neq 0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all subsets of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . Choose a complete ordering  $\prec$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  with the property

$$X \prec Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad |X| \le |Y| \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \mathcal{P}.$$

For every element  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\} \in \mathcal{P}$ , define  $A_X = A_{x_1}A_{x_2} \ldots A_{x_r}$  and  $v_X = A_X v$ . Finally, write  $X = \{1, 2, ..., k\} \setminus X$  for the complement of X.

Now take  $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $X \not\supseteq Y$ . Then  $A_{\bar{X}}$  annihilates  $v_Y$ , because  $X \not\supseteq Y$  implies the existence of some  $y \in Y \setminus X = Y \cap \overline{X}$ , and

$$A_{\bar{X}}v_Y = A_{\bar{X}\setminus\{y\}}A_yA_yv_{Y\setminus\{y\}} = 0,$$

since  $A_y^2 = 0$ . So,  $A_{\bar{X}}$  annihilates the span of all the  $v_Y$  with  $X \not\supseteq Y$ . This implies that  $v_X$  does not lie in this span, because  $A_{\bar{X}}v_X = v_{\{1,2,\dots,k\}} \neq 0$ . Therefore, the vectors  $v_X$  (with  $X \in \mathcal{P}$ ) are linearly independent; hence  $n \geq |\mathcal{P}| = 2^k$ .

**Problem 6.** Let  $f \neq 0$  be a polynomial with real coefficients. Define the sequence  $f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots$  of polynomials by  $f_0 = f$  and  $f_{n+1} = f_n + f'_n$  for every  $n \ge 0$ . Prove that there exists a number N such that for every  $n \ge N$ , all roots of  $f_n$  are real.

**Solution.** For the proof, we need the following

**Lemma 1.** For any polynomial g, denote by d(g) the minimum distance of any two of its real zeros  $(d(q) = \infty$  if q has at most one real zero). Assume that q and q + q' both are of degree  $k \ge 2$ and have k distinct real zeros. Then  $d(g+g') \ge d(g)$ .

Proof of Lemma 1: Let  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_k$  be the roots of g. Suppose a, b are roots of g + g'satisfying 0 < b - a < d(q). Then, a, b cannot be roots of q, and

$$\frac{g'(a)}{g(a)} = \frac{g'(b)}{g(b)} = -1.$$
(1)

Since  $\frac{g'}{g}$  is strictly decreasing between consecutive zeros of g, we must have  $a < x_j < b$  for some j. For all i = 1, 2, ..., k - 1 we have  $x_{i+1} - x_i > b - a$ , hence  $a - x_i > b - x_{i+1}$ . If i < j, both sides of this inequality are negative; if  $i \ge j$ , both sides are positive. In any case,  $\frac{1}{a-x_i} < \frac{1}{b-x_{i+1}}$ , and hence

$$\frac{g'(a)}{g(a)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{a-x_i} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{a-x_k}}_{<0} < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{b-x_{i+1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{b-x_1}}_{>0} = \frac{g'(b)}{g(b)}$$

This contradicts (1).

Now we turn to the proof of the stated problem. Denote by m the degree of f. We will prove by induction on m that  $f_n$  has m distinct real zeros for sufficiently large n. The cases m = 0, 1 are trivial; so we assume  $m \ge 2$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that f is monic. By induction, the result holds for f', and by ignoring the first few terms we can assume that  $f'_n$  has m-1 distinct real zeros for all n. Let us denote these zeros by  $x_1^{(n)} > x_2^{(n)} > \cdots > x_{m-1}^{(n)}$ . Then  $f_n$  has minima in  $x_1^{(n)}, x_3^{(n)}, x_5^{(n)}, \ldots$ , and maxima in  $x_2^{(n)}, x_4^{(n)}, x_6^{(n)}, \ldots$ . Note that in the interval  $(x_{i+1}^{(n)}, x_i^{(n)})$ , the function  $f'_{n+1} = f'_n + f''_n$  must have a zero (this follows by applying Rolle's theorem to the function  $e^{x}f'_{n}(x)$ ; the same is true for the interval  $(-\infty, x_{m-1}^{(n)})$ . Hence, in each of these m-1 intervals,  $f'_{n+1}$ has *exactly* one zero. This shows that

$$x_1^{(n)} > x_1^{(n+1)} > x_2^{(n)} > x_2^{(n+1)} > x_3^{(n)} > x_3^{(n+1)} > \dots$$
 (2)

**Lemma 2.** We have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_j^{(n)}) = -\infty$  if j is odd, and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_j^{(n)}) = +\infty$  if j is even.

Lemma 2 immediately implies the result: For sufficiently large n, the values of all maxima of  $f_n$ are positive, and the values of all minima of  $f_n$  are negative; this implies that  $f_n$  has m distinct zeros.

*Proof of Lemma 2:* Let  $d = \min\{d(f'), 1\}$ ; then by Lemma 1,  $d(f'_n) \ge d$  for all n. Define  $\varepsilon = \frac{(m-1)d^{m-1}}{m^{m-1}}$ ; we will show that

$$f_{n+1}(x_j^{(n+1)}) \ge f_n(x_j^{(n)}) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } j \text{ even.}$$
(3)

(The corresponding result for odd j can be shown similarly.) Do to so, write  $f = f_n$ ,  $b = x_j^{(n)}$ , and choose a satisfying  $d \leq b - a \leq 1$  such that f' has no zero inside (a, b). Define  $\xi$  by the relation  $b-\xi = \frac{1}{m}(b-a)$ ; then  $\xi \in (a,b)$ . We show that  $f(\xi) + f'(\xi) \ge f(b) + \varepsilon$ . Notice, that

$$\frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\xi - x_i^{(n)}}$$
$$= \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{\underbrace{\xi - x_i^{(n)}}_{<\frac{1}{\xi - a}}} + \frac{1}{\xi - b} + \sum_{i > j} \frac{1}{\underbrace{\xi - x_i^{(n)}}_{<0}}$$
$$< (m-1)\frac{1}{\xi - a} + \frac{1}{\xi - b} = 0.$$

The last equality holds by definition of  $\xi$ . Since f' is positive and  $\frac{f''}{f'}$  is decreasing in (a, b), we have that f'' is negative on  $(\xi, b)$ . Therefore,

$$f(b) - f(\xi) = \int_{\xi}^{b} f'(t)dt \le \int_{\xi}^{b} f'(\xi)dt = (b - \xi)f'(\xi)$$

Hence,

$$f(\xi) + f'(\xi) \ge f(b) - (b - \xi)f'(\xi) + f'(\xi)$$
  
=  $f(b) + (1 - (\xi - b))f'(\xi)$   
=  $f(b) + (1 - \frac{1}{m}(b - a))f'(\xi)$   
 $\ge f(b) + (1 - \frac{1}{m})f'(\xi).$ 

Together with

$$f'(\xi) = |f'(\xi)| = m \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \underbrace{|\xi - x_i^{(n)}|}_{\ge |\xi - b|} \ge m |\xi - b|^{m-1} \ge \frac{d^{m-1}}{m^{m-2}}$$

we get

$$f(\xi) + f'(\xi) \ge f(b) + \varepsilon.$$

Together with (2) this shows (3). This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.

